

# Getting to know your variables

Jane E. Miller, PhD

# Why is it important to get to know your variables?

- Each **variable** measures
  - A specific **concept**
    - Numeric values have particular meanings that differ depending on the nature of that concept
  - In a particular **context**
    - Place, time, and group to whom do the #s pertain
  - In specific **units**
  - Collected with a particular **study design**
    - Affects prevalence of and reasons for missing values

# Example of **failing** to get to know variables

- In a nationally representative survey sample from a developing country circa 2002.
  - Data set downloaded from a research data web site; not cleaned or evaluated before use.
  - Birth weight in grams observed range up to 9999 with a mean of 8000
- First red flag: Implausible as an actual birth weight, given its **meaning** and **units**. 9,999 grams  $\approx$  22 lbs.
  - 9999 was a code for missing value
- **Lesson**: Must become familiar with what a particular value means for that concept, context and units.

# Second red flag

- 2/3 of sample had a birth weight value of 9999
  - Very high value for a substantial share of the sample
  - Unlikely to be explained solely by
    - outliers
    - data entry errors
- **Lesson:** Look at study documentation and questionnaire to find out why this distribution was observed.
  - Occurred due to a **skip pattern** designed to minimize recall **bias** in birth weight reporting.

# Resources needed for this exercise

- Documentation on the data source
  - Description of study design
  - Questionnaire
  - Codebook for electronic data file
- Electronic file of database
- Statistical software
- Research question
- Articles, books, etc. on the topic
  - Dependent and key independent variables



Getting to know variables is project-specific

Attributes of data and variables to  
become familiar with **prior to analysis**

# Analytic sample

- **Before** becoming acquainted with variables in the analysis, impose any **limits on the analytic sample related to the research question.**
- **Exclude cases**
  - to whom the topic does not pertain
  - that are part of a group with too few cases
  - for whom a key variable was not collected

# Context of measurement

- **When, where, who**, e.g., family income will be
  - Higher **now** than it was **200 years ago** in a given place and group
  - Higher in a currently **developed** than **developing** country
  - Higher in a sample **of all households** than in a sample of **low-income** households

# Unit of analysis

- Do data pertain to
  - Individual person?
  - Family?
  - Census tract?
  - Institution?
- Knowing **unit of analysis** helps **ascertain plausible range of values**
  - e.g., number of persons in a family will be much lower than the population of a census tract or a school

# Labeling, coding, and missing value information for the variables

- To help create a comprehensive record of information on **each** of the variables in the analysis, fill out a grid like this one, which is available online.

<b>Variable name</b> (e.g. acronym on the data set)	<b>Variable label</b> (descriptive phrase)	<b>Type of variable</b> (nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio)	<b>Coding</b> (for categorical variables) <b>OR Units</b> (for continuous variables)	<b>Plausible range of values</b> (excluding <u>missing values</u> )	<b>Missing value codes</b> (if any)	<b>Skip pattern?</b> (e.g., conditions under which variable <u>not</u> collected)	<b>Original or created variable?</b>
DOCLY	Saw doctor last year	Nominal	1 = yes 2 = no	1, 2	7 = refused 8 = don't know 9 = missing	None for this variable	Original
BWGRMS	Birth weight	Ratio	Grams	0–6000	9999 = missing	Asked only about children < age 5 years.	Original

# Level of measurement

- **Categorical variables** are classified into categories or ranges.
  - Nominal, e.g., gender, race
  - Ordinal, e.g., age group, income range
- **Continuous variables**
  - Measured in numeric units, but not grouped.
  - Two types of continuous variables:
    - **Interval**
      - Zero is not lowest possible value
      - e.g., temperature °Fahrenheit
    - **Ratio**
      - Zero is lowest possible value
      - e.g., temperature °Kelvin, height, weight

Helps to anticipate limits on range of values



# Units of measurement

- **System of measurement:** Metric, British or other?
  - E.g., income in **dollars** or **Euros** or **yen**?
- **Level of aggregation**
  - E.g., income per **hour** or per **week** or per **year**?
- **Scale**
  - E.g., income in dollars or **thousands** of dollars or **millions** of dollars?

# Missing values

- Missing values on a variable can occur because they are
  - Not applicable for some respondents
  - Missing by design (e.g., modules given only to a subset of the overall sample)
  - Item non-response
- Identify missing values as such in the **electronic** database, so they are **treated correctly during analysis.**

# Plausible values for the **concept being measured**

## A value of 10,000

- **Makes sense** in **at least some contexts** for
  - Annual family income in dollars
  - Population of a census tract
  - An annual death rate per 100,000 persons
- **Does NOT make sense** for
  - Hourly income in dollars
  - Height of a person, in inches
  - Number of persons in a family
  - A Likert scale item
  - A proportion
  - An annual death rate per 1,000 persons

# Another example of plausible values

## A value of $-1$

- Makes sense in at least some contexts for
  - Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit or Celsius
  - Change in rating on a 5 point scale
  - Change in death rate per 100,000 persons
  - Percentage change in annual family income
- Does NOT make sense for
  - Temperature in degrees Kelvin
  - Number of persons in a family
  - A Likert scale item
  - A proportion

# Becoming acquainted with the concepts under study

- To identify plausible ranges of values for **each** of the dependent and key independent variables, **read the literature**.
- **Definitional** limits
  - E.g., a proportion of a whole must fall between 0 and 1
- **Conceptually plausible** range
  - E.g., birth weight must be positive but low enough that an infant of that size could conceivably be born!
- **Context** of measurement (who, when, where)



# Check **each** distribution against the **codebook** for the original source

- Check the distribution of values **observed in the analytic sample** for each variable against the codebook for the data set.
  - range and/or mean values for continuous variables
  - frequency distribution of categorical variables
  - # cases with missing values, by reason for missing value
- If any distributions are **inconsistent**, do **NOT analyze the data until discrepancies are resolved!**

# Check **each** distribution against the **literature** on similar variables

- Track down information in the **published literature** on each of the main variables for a **similar population**.
- If the values in **the data** are **substantially different from those used in other studies** of the same concepts, **do NOT analyze the data until discrepancies are resolved!**

# Identify reasons for **inconsistencies**

- Explain possible reasons for discrepancies between their data and similar data sets, e.g.,:
  - **Population studied**, e.g., substantially different time, place, and/or subgroup
  - **Units of analysis**, e.g., family instead of individual
  - **Units of measurement**, e.g., metric instead of British units
  - **Scale**, e.g., grams instead of kilograms
  - **Transformations of the variables**, e.g., percentiles instead of original value

# Reasons for getting to know your variables, redux

- These attributes of the analytic sample and variables are essential information for
  - Data preparation
    - Inclusion criteria for the analytic sample
    - Creation of new variables
  - Choice of pertinent descriptive and multivariate statistics
  - Design of correct charts and tables
  - Writing correct prose
- Even experienced researchers should complete this assignment when undertaking a project with a new topic or data set.

# Exercise yields key information for a research paper on the topic

- **Reading the literature** on the **topic** yields information needed for the
  - introduction
  - literature review
  - discussion sections of a paper
- Detailed knowledge of **study design** and **variables** from **documentation, questionnaire** and **codebook** provides information needed in the
  - data and methods
  - results sections of a paper

# Suggested readings

- Miller, J. E. 2013. The Chicago Guide to Writing about Multivariate Analysis, 2nd Edition.
  - chapter 4 on levels of measurement, units, standards and cutoffs
  - chapters 7 and 10 on choice of contrasts to suit the variable
  - chapter 13 on data and methods
  - chapters 4 and 13 on missing values and missing by design
- Chambliss, Daniel F., and Russell K. Schutt. 2012. Making Sense of the Social World: Methods of Investigation, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, or other research methods book for information on
  - study design, conceptualization, and measurement

# Suggested online resources

## Suggested podcasts:

- Reporting one number (re: units)
- Comparing two numbers or series of numbers (re: levels of measurement)
- Defining the Goldilocks problem

## Online materials available at

<http://press.uchicago.edu/books/miller/multivariate/index.html>

# Contact information

Institute for Health, Health Care Policy and  
Aging Research

Rutgers University

112 Paterson Street

New Brunswick NJ 08901

[jmiller@ifh.rutgers.edu](mailto:jmiller@ifh.rutgers.edu)

(848) 932-6730