

12/14/98 Page 1

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## PROBABILITY: CLASSICAL AND BAYESIAN

---

Colloquium  
University of Northern Iowa  
December 14, 1998

**MILO SCHIELD**  
Augsburg College  
www.augsburg.edu/ppages/schield  
schield@augsb.org.edu

12/14/98 Page 2

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## Probability Classical and Bayesian

---

*Statisticians are*

- *united on the axioms of statistics (mathematics)*
- *divided on the meaning of chance (philosophy)*

12/14/98 Page 3

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## United on Probability Axioms

---

1.  $P(a) \geq 0$  for all a in domain of P
2.  $P(t) = 1$  if t is a tautology
3.  $P(a \vee b) = P(a) + P(b)$   
if a, b and  $a \vee b$  are all in domain of P  
and if a and b are mutually exclusive
4.  $P(h|e) = P(h \& e)/P(e)$

12/14/98 Page 4

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## United on Bayes Theorems

---

**Bayes version:**  
 $P(h|e) = P(e|h) P(h)/P(e)$

**LaPlace version:**  
 $P(h|e) = P(h)/[P(h)+P(\sim h)] LR$

LR = Likelihood Ratio =  $P(e|\sim h)/P(e|h)$   
 $P(e) = P(e|h)P(h) + P(e|\sim h)P(\sim h)$

12/14/98 Page 5

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

*Classical probability is objective:*

- expresses fundamental laws regarding the assignment of objective physical probabilities to events in the outcome space of stochastic experiments
- independent of our feelings
- a property of the future: not of the past

12/14/98 Page 6

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

## Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

*Bayesian probability is epistemic --  
based on our context of knowledge*

- expresses numeric degrees of uncertainty
- measures our strength of belief
- can be applied to the truth of propositions

12/14/98 Page 7

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

- **Classical (Purely objective)**  
Hypothesis testing with p-values  
Confidence that fixed parameter is in a range
- **Bayesian strength of belief**  
No hypothesis testing; no p-values  
Probability fixed parameter is in fixed range

12/14/98 Page 8

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Teaching Bayesian: Yes! Realistic approach

---

*“...differences of opinion are the norm in science and an approach [Bayesian] that explicitly recognizes such differences is realistic.”* [ *Statistics: A Bayesian Perspective* by Berry]

*“The Bayesian approach is the only one capable of representing faithfully the basic principles of scientific reasoning.”*  
[*Scientific Reasoning* by Howson and Urbach]

12/14/98 Page 9

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Teaching Bayesian: No! “at best, premature”

---

*“Surveys of the statistical methods actually in use suggest that Bayesian techniques are little used. Bayesians have not yet agreed on standard approaches to standard problems settings. Bayesian reasoning requires a grasp of conditional probability, a concept confusing to beginners. Finally, an emphasis on Bayesian inference might well impede the trend toward experience with real data...”*  
David Moore, 1997

12/14/98 Page 10

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### “Bayesian Interpretation of Classical Hypothesis Tests”

---

- Combines classical hypothesis test with Bayesian strength of belief.
- If prior belief about truth of null is 50%,  $P(\text{alternate is false}|\text{reject null}) = p\text{-value}$
- Objectively determines prior strength of belief necessary to achieve a 95% probability that the alternate is true.

*Milo Schield, 1995 ASA JSM*

12/14/98 Page 11

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### “Bayesian Interpretation of Classical Confidence”

---

*Interprets classical confidence as a Bayesian strength of belief.*

One should be indifferent in betting on

- whether next ball is red (given 95% chance)
- whether a particular 95% confidence interval contains the population parameter

*Milo Schield, 1996 ASA JSM*

12/14/98 Page 12

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Conclusion

---

- *Students take statistics to help them make better decisions.*
- *Decision making is Bayesian -- based on a strength of belief.*
- *Elementary statistics should include a Bayesian interpretation of classical statistical inference.*

12/14/98 Page 13

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### “Statistical Literacy and Evidential Statistics”

---

- Focus on observational studies
- Focus on confounding factors
- Emphasize conditional probability
- Clearly identify role of chance:
  - Highly unlikely if due to chance”
  - highly unlikely to be due to chance”

*Milo Schield, 1998 ASA JSM*

12/14/98 Page 14

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### “Statistical Literacy and Simpson’s Paradox”

---

- **Simpson’s Paradox:** a reversal of an association due to a confounding factor.
- Objectively determines the minimum effect size for a reversal in the three variable case.

*Milo Schield, 1999 ASA JSM*

12/14/98 Page 15

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Elementary Statistics: Technical versus Basic

---

*Elementary Statistics should be split:*

- *Technical statistics* for majors that use hypothesis tests (psychology, sociology, education, etc.)
- *Basic statistics* for majors that don’t (humanities) and students that don’t (two-year schools)

12/14/98 Page 16

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Elementary Statistics: Technical versus Basic

---

- *Technical Statistics:*  
Statistical inference: sampling distributions, confidence intervals and hypothesis tests
- *Basic Statistics:*  
Reading tables, reading and interpreting graphs, and evaluating the results of observational studies.

12/14/98 Page 17

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Elementary Statistics: Benefits of Changes

---

- *Goal is statistical literacy: critical thinking about statistics*
- *Opportunity to Improve:*  
Statistical education  
Reputation of statistics
- *Attract national attention*  
Demonstrate leadership

12/14/98 Page 18

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

### Elementary Statistics: (To be continued)

---

*Need more research on*

- assessment of statistical literacy
- student comprehension/retention
- selection of topics
- development of teaching materials
- value added for other majors
- difficulty of training faculty

12/14/98 Page 19

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

**Statistics Faculty  
Bayesian: US and UK**

---

- **US & Canada:**
  - 0 - 10% Pure Bayesian\*\*
  - 10 - 30% Mixed Bayesian\*\*
- **UK, Australia, & New Zealand:**
  - 20 - 40% Pure Bayesian\*\*
  - 40 - 60% Mixed Bayesian\*\*

\*\* Estimated

12/14/98 Page 20

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

**Math program enrollments  
Two-year colleges**

---

**Enrollment in elementary statistics**

- 11,000 in 1970
- 20,000 in 1980 -- 6.0% growth/year
- 47,000 in 1990 -- 8.5% growth/year
- 69,000 in 1995 -- 7.7% growth/year

12/14/98 Page 21

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

**Math program enrollments  
Four-year colleges**

---

**Enrollment in elementary statistics\*\***

- 117,000 in 1990
- 164,000 in 1995: 6.8% growth/year

\*\* taught just in math programs

**77% of all enrollment in elementary statistics is at the 4-year level**

12/14/98 Page 22

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

**Math program enrollments:  
Four-year colleges**

---

**Enrollment: 1995 versus 1990**

- 25% increase in elementary stats
- 10% decrease in math courses
- 20% decrease in upper-level math
- 26% decrease in upper-level stats

12/14/98 Page 23

P(h|e)  
P(e|h)  
P(e|~h)

**Math program enrollments:  
Statistics**

---

**Why are more students taking stats?**

- **Desire:** Students have a greater interest in understanding mathematical concepts such as variable, function, slope and correlation.
- **Necessity:** More students are required to take statistics for their major or graduation.

$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# PROBABILITY: CLASSICAL AND BAYESIAN

---

**Colloquium**  
**University of Northern Iowa**  
December 14, 1998

***MILO SCHIELD***

**Augsburg College**

**[www.augsburg.edu/ppages/schild](http://www.augsburg.edu/ppages/schild)**

**[schild@augburg.edu](mailto:schild@augburg.edu)**

$P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Probability

## Classical and Bayesian

---

*Statisticians are*

- *united* on the axioms of statistics  
(mathematics)
- *divided* on the meaning of chance  
(philosophy)



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# United on Probability Axioms

---

- 1.  $P(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a$  in domain of  $P$**
- 2.  $P(t) = 1$  if  $t$  is a tautology**
- 3.  $P(a \vee b) = P(a) + P(b)$   
if  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $a \vee b$  are all in domain of  $P$   
and if  $a$  and  $b$  are mutually exclusive**
- 4.  $P(h|e) = P(h \ \& \ e)/P(e)$**



# United on Bayes Theorems

---

$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

**Bayes version:**

$$P(h|e) = P(e|h) P(h)/P(e)$$

**LaPlace version:**

$$P(h|e) = P(h) / [P(h) + P(\sim h) LR]$$

**LR = Likelihood Ratio =  $P(e|\sim h)/P(e|h)$**

$$P(e) = P(e|h)P(h) + P(e|\sim h)P(\sim h)$$



 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

*Classical probability is objective:*

- **expresses fundamental laws regarding the assignment of objective physical probabilities to events in the outcome space of stochastic experiments**
- **independent of our feelings**
- **a property of the future: not of the past**

 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

*Bayesian probability is epistemic --  
based on our context of knowledge*

- expresses numeric degrees of uncertainty
- measures our strength of belief
- can be applied to the truth of propositions



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Probability: Classical versus Bayesian

---

- *Classical (Purely objective)*  
Hypothesis testing with p-values  
*Confidence* that fixed parameter is in a range
- *Bayesian strength of belief*  
No hypothesis testing; no p-values  
*Probability* fixed parameter is in fixed range



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Teaching Bayesian: Yes!

## Realistic approach

---

*“...differences of opinion are the norm in science and an approach [Bayesian] that explicitly recognizes such differences is realistic.”* [ *Statistics: A Bayesian Perspective* by Berry]

*“The Bayesian approach is the only one capable of representing faithfully the basic principles of scientific reasoning.”*  
[*Scientific Reasoning* by Howson and Urbach]



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Teaching Bayesian: No!

## “at best, premature”

---

*“Surveys of the statistical methods actually in use suggest that Bayesian techniques are little used.*

*Bayesians have not yet agreed on standard approaches to standard problems settings.*

*Bayesian reasoning requires a grasp of conditional probability, a concept confusing to beginners.*

*Finally, an emphasis on Bayesian inference might well impede the trend toward experience with real data...”*

David Moore, 1997

$P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# “Bayesian Interpretation of Classical Hypothesis Tests”

---

- **Combines classical hypothesis test with Bayesian strength of belief.**
- **If prior belief about truth of null is 50%,  $P(\text{alternate is false}|\text{reject null}) = \text{p-value}$**
- **Objectively determines prior strength of belief necessary to achieve a 95% probability that the alternate is true.**

*Milo Schield, 1995 ASA JSM*



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# “Bayesian Interpretation of Classical Confidence”

---

*Interprets classical confidence as a  
Bayesian strength of belief.*

**One should be indifferent in betting on**

- **whether next ball is red (given 95% chance)**
- **whether a particular 95% confidence interval contains the population parameter**

*Milo Schield, 1996 ASA JSM*

 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

## Conclusion

---

- *Students take statistics to help them make better decisions.*
- *Decision making is Bayesian -- based on a strength of belief.*
- *Elementary statistics should include a Bayesian interpretation of classical statistical inference.*





$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# “Statistical Literacy and Evidential Statistics”

---

- **Focus on observational studies**
- **Focus on confounding factors**
- **Emphasize conditional probability**
- **Clearly identify role of chance:**
  - **Highly unlikely if due to chance”**
  - **highly unlikely to be due to chance”**

*Milo Schield, 1998 ASA JSM*



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# “Statistical Literacy and Simpson’s Paradox”

---

- **Simpson’s Paradox: a reversal of an association due to a confounding factor.**
- **Objectively determines the minimum effect size for a reversal in the three variable case.**

*Milo Schield, 1999 ASA JSM*

 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Elementary Statistics: Technical versus Basic

---

*Elementary Statistics should be split:*

- ***Technical statistics*** for majors that use hypothesis tests (psychology, sociology, education, etc.)
- ***Basic statistics*** for majors that don't (humanities) and students that don't (two-year schools)



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Elementary Statistics: Technical versus Basic

---

- ***Technical Statistics:***  
**Statistical inference: sampling distributions, confidence intervals and hypothesis tests**
- ***Basic Statistics:***  
**Reading tables, reading and interpreting graphs, and evaluating the results of observational studies.**

 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Elementary Statistics: Benefits of Changes

---

- *Goal is statistical literacy: critical thinking about statistics*
- *Opportunity to Improve:*  
**Statistical education**  
**Reputation of statistics**
- *Attract national attention*  
**Demonstrate leadership**



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Elementary Statistics: (To be continued)

---

*Need more research on*

- **assessment of statistical literacy**
- **student comprehension/retention**
- **selection of topics**
- **development of teaching materials**
- **value added for other majors**
- **difficulty of training faculty**



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Statistics Faculty

## Bayesian: US and UK

---

- *US & Canada:*
  - 0 - 10% Pure Bayesian\*\**
  - 10 - 30% Mixed Bayesian\*\**
- *UK, Australia, & New Zealand:*
  - 20 - 40% Pure Bayesian\*\**
  - 40 - 60% Mixed Bayesian\*\**
  - \*\* Estimated*

 $P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Math program enrollments Two-year colleges

---

## *Enrollment in elementary statistics*

- *11,000 in 1970*
- *20,000 in 1980 -- 6.0% growth/year*
- *47,000 in 1990 -- 8.5% growth/year*
- *69,000 in 1995 -- 7.7% growth/year*



$P(h|e)$   
 $P(e|h)$   
 $P(e|\sim h)$

# Math program enrollments Four-year colleges

---

*Enrollment in elementary statistics\*\**

- *117,000 in 1990*
- *164,000 in 1995: 6.8% growth/year*

**\*\* taught just in math programs**

*77% of all enrollment in elementary statistics is at the 4-year level*

$P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Math program enrollments: Four-year colleges

---

## *Enrollment: 1995 versus 1990*

- *25% increase in elementary stats*
- *10% decrease in math courses*
- *20% decrease in upper-level math*
- *26% decrease in upper-level stats*

$P(h|e)$  $P(e|h)$  $P(e|\sim h)$ 

# Math program enrollments: Statistics

---

*Why are more students taking stats?*

- *Desire: Students have a greater interest in understanding mathematical concepts such as variable, function, slope and correlation.*
- *Necessity: More students are required to take statistics for their major or graduation.*